ABSTRACT

**Background:** These stemness markers have proved their ability in tumorigenicity, tumor metastasis, and even distant recurrence after chemotherapy in different types of cancer. Also, it has shown its evidence in pre-malignant conditions such as OSMF (Oral Submucous Fibrosis) did show the presence of stem cell markers such as Sox2 and Oct4.

**Aim:** Establishing the relationship of Sox2 and Oct4 markers with a potentially malignant disorder, OSMF. Correlation between the said markers and the clinical and histopathological classification.

**Materials and Methods:** 40 archival tissue samples of OSMF were clinically and histopathologically classified using Khanna and Andrade classification. Immunohistochemical analysis for Sox2 and Oct4 was performed on paraffin-embedded tissue sections on 20 histopathologically confirmed cases of oral submucous fibrosis each.

**Results:** The resulting data were analyzed using the SPSS software version 20.0. The significance of the parameters was tested by the Pearson's Chi-square test ($P \leq 0.05$ as statistically significant). The immunohistochemical results showed that Sox2 and Oct4 could be detected in the basal and suprabasal layers in OSMF. Although no significant difference was noted in the expression of Sox2 and Oct4 with increasing grades of oral submucous fibrosis.
Conclusion: The results obtained from this study show a contingency between the Sox2 and Oct4 marker with OSMF. These results need to be further investigated and further verified with the inclusion of a greater number of cases.
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1. INTRODUCTION

OSMF is categorized as a potentially malignant disorder and is an eviscerating disease commonly subjected to the Indian subcontinent and Southeast Asia. The contributing factors are chewing of areca nut, pan masala, betel quid, gutkha, etc [1,2]. OSMF is occasionally preceded by, or associated with, the formation of vesicles, it is always associated with a juxtaepithelial inflammatory reaction followed by fibroelastic change of the lamina propria and epithelial atrophy that leads to stiffness of the oral mucosa and causes trismus and an inability to eat [3]. Although it is not known how many oral squamous cell carcinomas arise from precursor lesions and how many develop from apparently normal oral mucosa. It is widely accepted that a dysplastic lesion carries a decisively greater risk of malignant transformation than a non-dysplastic one and various reports have been published regarding the malignant transformation of oral potentially malignant disorders. The malignant potential of OSF was first described by Paymaster in 1956, and the rate has been estimated to be 7-13%. Even though the mechanisms of malignant transformation have not been clear up to date [4].

With profound significance to alleviate many diseases for which there is no effective treatment, stem cells have seen a dramatic rise in literature in the medical field in recent years. Stem cells are special human cells that can develop into different ranges of cell types. Cancer stem cells (CSCs) are a small subpopulation of cells that guide the growth and spread of cancer. They possess the ability to initiate neoplasms and sustain tumor self-renewal; identification and isolation of CSCs constitute a major experimental challenge [5].

The transcription factors like Oct4 (Octamer-binding transcription factor 4), Sox2 (Sex determining region SRY homolog box 2), and Nanog play essential roles in maintaining pluripotency and self-renewal of embryonic stem cells. Its role in reprogramming is used to create induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) along with, Klf4 and c-MYC have also been studied by various researchers [6]. This stemness marker has proved its ability in tumorigenicity, tumor metastasis, and even distant recurrence after chemotherapy in different types of cancer. Also, it has shown its evidence in pre-malignant conditions such as OSMF (Oral Submucous Fibrosis) where according to Lazarevic et al. even the surgical margins of oral cancer did show the presence of stem cell markers such as Sox2 and Oct4 [6,7].

With this background, the study focuses on the relationship of Sox2 and Oct4 markers with the potentially malignant disorder, OSMF.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Patients and Tissue Samples

The study was conducted in the Department Of Oral and Maxillofacial Pathology and Microbiology, D.Y Patil Dental College, Navi Mumbai, on archival tissue samples which were submitted for the histopathological evaluation. Study samples consisted of 40 histopathologically confirmed cases of oral submucous fibrosis. The samples were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin wax to obtain 3μ sections for the immunohistochemistry procedure.

2.2 Immunohistochemistry with Sox2 and Oct4

Three-micrometer-thick sections from archival formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues were placed on poly-L-lysine-coated slides for immunohistochemistry. Sox2 and Oct4 immunoexpression was analyzed by the immunohistochemical examination with the antibody 20 slides each. Lung squamous cell carcinoma and normal oral mucosa served as the positive control. Positive staining for both Sox2 and Oct4 was seen as localization in both membrane and cytoplasm within the cell in the form of crisp brown color.

2.3 Assessment of Immune Scoring

The IHC results were independently evaluated by two observers, blinded to clinical data. Sox2 and
Oct4 staining was evaluated as the percentage of cells with nuclear staining in the samples and graded accordingly. The number of positively stained cells was expressed as a percentage of the total number of cells: grade 1: less than 5% positive cells, grade 2: 6-25% positive cells, grade 3: 26-50% positive cells, and grade 4 more than 50% positive cells.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software by descriptive and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistics were used for demographic data and summarized as a mean with a standard deviation of the markers used. The data collected were first visualized to confirm their normal distribution. The descriptive statistics like frequency distribution of data were calculated. The significance of the parameters was tested by Pearson’s Chi-square test. The 95% confidence interval and 5% level of significance were used for the analysis of data. A $P \leq 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

Oral submucous fibrosis cases selected for Sox2 and Oct4 immunohistochemical expression were between the age range of 25 years to 40 years with 66.7% and 100% male preponderance for Sox2 and Oct4 respectively. The immunohistochemical results showed that Sox2 and Oct4 could be detected in the basal layer of normal oral mucosa and basal and suprabasal layers in OSMF. Sox2 (Fig. 1) and Oct4 (Fig. 2) exhibited nuclear staining, respectively. The immunohistochemical expression of both Sox2 and Oct4 was found to increase from normal oral mucosa to oral submucous fibrosis.

OSMF was classified according to Khanna and Andrade classification into 4 different grades namely, very early, early, moderately advanced, and advanced. A comparison between the histological grading given by the observers and this classification was done [8].

3.1 Assessment of Sox2 Immunohistochemical Expression in OSMF Study Cases

Based on the classification, the cases selected for Sox2 were graded as follows, 6.7% very early, 40% early, 40% moderately advanced, and 13.3% advanced. Combining the histological staging by 2 observers and grading discussed earlier, 6.7% showed grade 1, 40% grade 2, 33.3% grade 3, and 20% grade 4. The chi-square value was observed as 0.291 and a $P$ value of 0.962. (Table 1).

3.2 Assessment of Oct4 Immunohistochemical Expression in OSMF Study Cases

Similarly, for Oct4 based on Khanna and Andrade classification cases were graded as follows, 0% very early, 30% early, 20% moderately advanced, and 50% advanced. Combining the histological staging by 2 observers and grading discussed earlier Oct4 cases were graded as; 0% grade 1, 20% grade 2, 10% grade 3, and 70% grade 4. Chi-square value was observed as 0.867 and $P$ value as 0.648 (Table 2).

Although no significant difference was noted in the expression of Sox2 and Oct4 with increasing grades of oral submucous fibrosis.

4. DISCUSSION

The pathogenesis of OSMF has been a debatorial problem [2],[9]. Since the normal oral mucosa has a layer of basal stem cells that shows an expansive range of mechanisms such as stemness/ clonogenicity, it thereby maintains epithelial homeostasis, stimulating in differentiation [10],[11]. Though there is not much clear evidence on the pathogenesis of OSMF, EMT (Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition) has shown to be substantially correct. In this process, there is a biological transition of a polarized epithelial cell to mesenchymal configuration [12]. Because EMT has gained immense contribution towards tumorigenesis, it has been classified into 3 types. Amidst all 3 types, Type III metamorphosed into tumor evince tumor formation, progression, and metastasis of cancer cells [13]. The other 2 types namely type I and type II mainly target on organ development, formation of embryos, and inflammation and wound healing respectively [14].

As already reasoned out, the epithelial cell expression is analogously lesser than the mesenchymal cell expression, and also an augmented rise in transcription factors is also noted. Among the various transcription factors, homebox families belonging to the homeodomain transcription factor are the significant ones principally subsidizing EMT pathways [15].
Fig. 1. Immunohistochemical expression Sox2 in OSMF cases

Fig. 2. Immunohistochemical expression Oct4 in OSMF cases
Table 1. Comparison of histological grading by observers and clinical and histological classification of OSMF in Sox2 expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Group</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical H/P</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Group</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Group</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi square value: 0.291; P value: 0.962

Table 2. Comparison of histological grading by observers and clinical and histological classification of OSMF in Oct4 expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Grade 1</th>
<th>Grade 2</th>
<th>Grade 3</th>
<th>Grade 4</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Group</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical H/P</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Group</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>26.6%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Count</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% within Group</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>46.6%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi square value: 0.6286; P value: 0.8898
Table 3. Details of observational studies on Sox2, Oct4, and other transcriptional factors in oral potentially malignant disorders and Oral Squamous cell carcinoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>IHC marker</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Observation and Inference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bin Qiao et al[6]</td>
<td>Sox2 and Oct4</td>
<td>Precancerous lesions n=20</td>
<td>Immunohistochemical expression of Oct4 and Sox2 may contribute to the malignant transformation of the oral mucosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OSCCs in primary site n=116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Corresponding epithelial non-cancer tissues adjacent to the OSCC n=20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changqing Xie et al[27]</td>
<td>Sox2, Bmi1, and Ki67</td>
<td>Normal oral mucosa n=10</td>
<td>The expression levels of SOX2 and Bmi1 showed an increasing trend in the progression of OSMF. Ki67, SOX2, and Bmi1 were highly expressed in OSMF tissues with dysplasia. Moreover, the three proteins were located at the epithelial and mesenchymal junctions, and their expression showed a positive correlation with each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OSMF n=81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Vicente et al., 2019[28]</td>
<td>Sox2</td>
<td>Oral epithelial dysplasia n=55</td>
<td>SOX2 protein expression was found to significantly increase with the grade of dysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiu S et al., 2008[28,29]</td>
<td>Oct4 and NANOG</td>
<td>OSCC n=52</td>
<td>Elevated expression of OCT-4 and NANOG was observed to be positively associated with tumor progression and a worse prognosis of oral cancer. In the comparison of expression of OCT4 and NANOG with a prognosis of OSCC patients, NANOG was found to be a better predictor for worse prognosis as compared to OCT4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Du L et al., 2011[28–30]</td>
<td>Sox2</td>
<td>Tongue squamous cell carcinoma n=82</td>
<td>SOX2 expression was significantly associated with large tumor size. Multivariate analysis of this study demonstrated that SOX2-positive expression was an independent prognostic indicator of unfavorable survival outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Vicente et al., 2019[28]</td>
<td>Sox2</td>
<td>OSCC n=125</td>
<td>No correlation of expression of SOX2 with clinicopathologic prognostic factors was found. SOX2 was thought to be associated with early oral tumorigenesis rather than with tumor progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Narges Ghazi et al[31]</td>
<td>Sox2 and Oct4</td>
<td>OSCC n=45</td>
<td>The role of SOX2 or OCT4 in oral mucosal carcinogenesis can be explained by increased expression of SOX2 and OCT4 in higher grades and the significant correlation of these genes with each other among OSCC specimens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OED n=15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>Sox2 and Oct4</td>
<td>OSMF n=30</td>
<td>The results obtained from this study show a positive correlation between Sox2 and Oct4 markers with OSMF. Although no significant difference was noted in the expression of Sox2 and Oct4 with increasing grades of oral submucous fibrosis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Apart from the aforementioned results, a comprehensive study conducted by a group of researchers shows the expression of vacillating patterns of oral mucosal stem cell markers such as that of Sox2, Oct4, E-cadherin, c-MYC [16,17].

OSMF, a mucosal condition seen in those having the habit of areca nut chewing does not have any gender specificity. However, this decades-old disease shows marked collagen destruction in conjunction with those having the habit of areca nut chewing. Arecoline, an alkaloid constituent of areca nut triggers collagen production thus resulting in a juxta epithelial inflammatory reaction followed by the fibro-elastic changes in the lamina propria layer leading to epithelial atrophy. Areca nuts consist of other alkaloids other than arecoline, such as arecaidine, arecolidine, guyacoline, and guacine [18-21]. Apart from the alkaloid components, they also contain flavonoid components like tannins and catechins [22]. Development of such pre-cancerous conditions which then transforms into a malignancy has widely been investigated. Although there are different theories and concepts relating to the same, the recent and most advanced one encompasses epigenetics. Epigenetics has its role in gene transcription where the regulatory proteins play a major role [23]. One such epigenetic control pathway which involves the miR-145 (microRNA-145) molecules was found to be of great significance. These miR-145 are non-coding protein RNA molecules that act as a tumor suppressor gene thereby inhibiting tumor metastasis, proliferation, and invasion [24]. The self-renewing transcription factor Oct4 has shown its effect in upregulation due to the downregulation of miR-145 (microRNA-145) by arecoline along with SOX-2 marker[25,26].

In recent years, an increasing number of studies have shown that the plasticity of epithelial stemness cells is key to maintaining epithelial regeneration, structural integrity, and homeostasis. Various studies have been conducted using Sox2 and Oct4 markers, the inference of which has been formulated in above Table 3.

The present study focuses on the qualitative immunohistochemical expression of Sox2 and Oct4 in potentially malignant disorders, oral submucous fibrosis. Correlation between the said markers and the clinical and histopathological classification derived by Khanna and Andrade is also studied. However, no significant difference was noted in the expression of Sox2 and Oct4 with increasing grades of oral submucous fibrosis.

5. CONCLUSION

Recent advances in tumor molecular biology have found a variety of useful biomarkers in cancer detection, monitoring of progression, prognosis estimation, and inspection of therapeutic responses. Previous studies dealing with molecular carcinogenesis have identified cancer stem cell markers Sox2 and Oct4 involved in carcinogenesis. However, the role of these markers in oral submucous fibrosis is poorly understood. Therefore the present study aimed to evaluate the immunohistochemical expression of Sox2 and Oct4 in OSMF. To summate, the results obtained from this study show a contingency between the Sox2 and Oct4 marker with OSMF. These results need to be further investigated and further verified with the inclusion of a greater number of cases.
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