Anaesthetic Management of Suspect COVID-19 Patient during a COVID-19 Pandemic Presenting for Emergency Limb Saving Surgery
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ABSTRACT

Covid-19 pandemic is an unprecedented crisis and has changed dynamics of health care by severely straining the resources for patients coming for both elective and emergency surgeries. Ultrasound guided nerve block has been a life saver in most covid-19 positive patients coming for emergency surgical procedures as they reduce the risk of general anaesthesia in a patient with already compromised lung physiology and also minimizes risk of aerosol contamination of the operation theatre and health care personnel. We describe the anaesthetic management of a 67 year old male patient with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus and sepsis for diabetic foot wound fasciotomy and wound debridement. The patient was operated under ultrasound guided popliteal sciatic and Saphenous nerve block of the right lower limb with all precautions taken to prevent covid spread as the patient had a high index of suspicion for covid-19. The patient was reported positive for RT-PCR in the postoperative period.
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1. INTRODUCTION

COVID-19 patients coming for emergency surgery pose a challenge to the Anaesthesiologists. On the one hand there was severe manpower crisis when most anaesthesiologists were diverted towards taking care of covid patients admitted to the intensive
care unit. On the other hand, anesthetizing Patient whose respiratory and cardiac reserves are severely compromised at the same time minimizing the spread of infection within the operation theatre and to the health care personnel involved in the patient care was an arduous task.

General anaesthesia involves invasive airway manipulation both during intubation and extubation leading to aerosol generation and high risk of transmission of respiratory infection to the health care personnel involved [1]. Retrospective analysis of SARS reports and research identified pooled analysis of risk for a variety of Aerosol Generating Procedures, with intubation and noninvasive manual ventilation creating a 6.6- and 3.3-fold increased risk of infection respectively in Health Care Workers [2]. Ideally the operation theatre should be a negative pressure room to minimize the risk of transmission of virus during aerosol generating manoeuvres [3] but most of the operation theatre in India are positive pressure system. Neuraxial anaesthesia and peripheral nerve blocks are considered more safer as they do not involve aerosol producing manoeuvres and should be considered over general Anaesthesia [4,5]. We report the anaesthetic management and precautions taken in a suspect covid patient coming for emergency surgery.

2. CASE REPORT

A 67 year old male patient presented to the emergency department with diabetic foot of the right leg with gangrene of right great toe and swelling of the foot. He was conscious and oriented but dyspnoeic a 3.6g%), and fasting blood sugar of 351 mg/dl. His haemoglobin was 8.2 g/dl (11-14g/dL) and total leucocyte count was 20,300 cells/cu.mm (4,000 - 10,000 cells/cmm). His blood group was O-ve. He had uncontrolled diabetes with a HbA1c of 13 (4-6g%) and fasting blood sugar of 351 mg/dl (90-120 mg/dL). His creatinine value was 2.03 mg/dl (<1.2mg/dL), sodium and potassium were 123meq/L (135-145 meq/L) and 2.8meq/L (3.5 - 5.0meq/L) respectively. The bilirubin values were slightly increased to 1.22mg/dl and alkaline phosphatase was 226 IU/L. Urine tested negative for ketone bodies. ECG suggested an old inferior wall myocardial infarction. His room air saturation was around 89 to 90% and ABG showed a pO2 of 53.2 mmHg. X-ray chest showed diffuse bilateral infiltrates. His HRCT-chest showed multiple patchy areas of consolidation with ground glass opacity involving bilateral lung fields both centrally and peripherally. Multiple enlarged mediastinal nodes were present with minimal left sided pleural effusion. He was known case of coronary artery disease on tablet clopidogrel 75 mg and aspirin 75 mg. The last dose these medications were taken 24 hours prior. It had not been with held as per ASRA guidelines because it was an emergency procedure. The acute lung involvement was highly suggestive of covid-19 infection. He was diagnosed with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes, acute on chronic kidney disease most probably due to diabetic nephropathy with anaemia, hypernatremia and sepsis secondary to gangrene in the toe. He was posted for fasciotomy with wound debridement of diabetic foot.

In the operation theatre, standard ASA monitors were attached. The patient was started on insulin infusion and normal saline infusion to correct hyperglycemia and hypernatremia respectively. Using ultrasound guidance, the right sciatic nerve was identified just proximal to the poplital fossa and the saphenous nerve was identified at the adductor canal using a high frequency linear transducer probe and 0.375% Ropivacaine was injected to block the nerves. 30 ml of the solution was used to block the sciatic nerve and 5ml was used to block the saphenous nerve. The block was performed by a senior consultant and the patient was handed over to the surgeon. Broad spectrum antibiotics were given once the sample was taken for pus culture and sensitivity. The surgery went on for 50 minutes uneventfully and patient was shifted to the designated postoperative isolation ward for postoperative monitoring and critical care. The patient turned out to be covid-19 positive and was managed according to our hospital protocol.

3. DISCUSSION

We were faced with the problem of operating on a suspected covid-19 positive patient with uncontrolled diabetes mellitus in sepsis with renal dysfunction and electrolyte imbalance. The RT-PCR test usually takes 12 hours to confirm covid infection but we could not wait that long as the patient had to be taken up immediately for surgery to remove the infective foci and salvage the limb. Blood products could not be arranged as the blood bank did not have adequate reserves of O negative blood because of the paucity of donors due to the pandemic.
Regional anaesthesia was planned as his covid status was not yet confirmed. Subarachnoid block is not contraindicated in covid patients and should be considered as the first choice because of low aerosol generation [5] and lot of publications have come out quoting the safety of neuraxial block in surgical patients with COVID [6,7]. However, it should be kept in mind that many patients with COVID-19 may have thrombocytopenia [8] and the virus has been isolated from cerebrospinal fluid of infected patient [9]. Our patient had a normal platelet count but was on Tablet Aspirin and Tablet Clopidogrel so we decided that ultrasound guided block of the popliteal sciatic and Saphenous nerve was a better option. Ankle block was not preferred because of the swelling and edema over the feet which makes it difficult to administer the block and it delays the onset of local anesthetic action. A lower concentration of local anaesthesia was used, as only sensory block was desired, and the toxic dose would be less in this patient due to metabolic consequences secondary to acute on chronic renal failure. The patient was shifted to the operating room with Hudson oxygen mask at 5 liters/min and a surgical mask was placed over the oxygen mask to reduce dispersion of droplets. Oxygen supplementation was kept to 5 litres/ min and a face mask was preferred over a nasal prong to reduce aerosol generation and dispersion with high oxygen flow rates [10]. The goal was to minimize aerosol generation and dispersion with least amount of oxygen flow to maintain oxygen saturation.

Minimal number of personnel were involved during the procedure and all of them were provided with fluid resistant gowns, gloves and face shield with N95 mask. Usually, surgery under regional blocks is not considered aerosol generating but given the general status of the patient and keeping in mind the need for airway assistance any time during intraoperative period, a full airborne precautionary PPE was used. Standard ASA monitors were used. Preoperative and procedural sedation were not given to avoid respiratory compromise. The right sciatic was identified just proximal to the popliteal fossa and the Saphenous nerve was identified at the abductor canal using a high frequency linear transducer probe and 0.375% Ropivacaine was injected to block the nerves. 30 ml of the solution was used to block the sciatic nerve and 5 ml was used to block the Saphenous nerve. We did not add any additive to the block. Our usual additive is either Dexametomidine or Dexamethasone as they prolong the duration of analgesia postoperatively. Dexamethasone was avoided because of the possibility of immunosuppression in an already septicemic patient and Dexametomidine was not used to avoid hypotension and sedation. The block was done by the senior consultant and the patient was handed over to the surgeon after confirming the block success so as to minimize the need for intraoperative conversion. It took 20 minutes for the block to be fully effective. Extra onset time was given for the block to fully act so as to reduce the incidence of intraoperative conversion to General anaesthesia. The patient was wearing a surgical mask during the entire intraoperative period. The surgery went on for 50 minutes uneventfully and patient was shifted to the designated postoperative isolation ward for postoperative monitoring and critical care. Postoperatively patient was comfortable and sensory blockade lasted for 6 hours. Further pain was managed with Paracetamol intravenous infusion. There was no desaturation or worsening of the respiratory distress. Urine output was adequate. Antibiotics was started and continued for 5 days. Report of the RT-PCR for COVID-19 turned out to be positive and was managed according to our hospital protocol. Dexamethasone was administered as a part of COVID 19 treatment. In pooled estimate from the observational studies and RCTs showed a significant reduced mortality in the corticosteroid group (OR 0.72) [11]. In cryptogenic organizing pneumonia, steroid administration has been suggested to prevent progression to hypoxemic respiratory failure in case series [12]. If no deleterious side effects occur, it is essential to continue the steroid treatment at least for 7 days. There is no specific guidelines given for the duration of steroid treatment. Even after less than 14 days duration sudden cessation of steroids does not cause HPA axis suppression, but it is essential to be tapered slowly, as abruptly stopping can result in a rebound increase of pro inflammatory mediators, with recurrence of the features of shock. It also causes down regulation of steroid receptors [13]. After 14 days in the isolation ward, there as no dyspnoea and tachypnoea. Hemodynamics stabilized. Wound infection reduced and swelling subsided.

4. CONCLUSION

Regional anaesthesia is a effective armamentarium for the anaesthesiologist in
COVID-19 times and nerve blocks help us to give a safe anaesthesia with minimal hemodynamic alterations in the otherwise already compromised patients and also minimizing the chance of aerosol spread of infection to the other health care workers. It should be the choice of anaesthesia whenever possible in both COVID positive and suspect COVID patients.
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